PARKSIDE ESTATES EIR #97-2

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

VOLUME II



Prepared for:

The City of Huntington Beach Planning Department 2000 Main Street Huntington Beach, CA 92648

Prepared by:

EDAW, Inc.

July 2002

PARKSIDE ESTATES EIR No. 97-2

Volume II

FINAL EIR

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NO. 97091051

PREPARED FOR:

THE CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH PLANNING DEPARTMENT 2000 MAIN STREET HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA 92648

PREPARED BY:

EDAW, Inc.

5.0 FINAL EIR

The Final EIR consists of the Draft EIR and the New Alternatives to the Draft EIR that were both previously circulated. The Final EIR includes changes in response to typographical errors found and requests received from the City of Huntington Beach Department of Planning and Department of Public Works. Additional changes have been made in response to comments received on the Draft EIR and New Alternatives to the Draft EIR. The original Draft EIR TTM's were also revised to address the Department of Public Works and Department of Planning comments and to ensure no remedial grading impacts to ORA 83 would occur as a result of project implementation. The revisions to the original Draft EIR TTM's resulted in the removal of 2 residential lots from the original plan. The original TTM's now include a total of 206 residential lots versus 208. These project description modifications were also included within Section 3.0 of the June 2001 New Alternatives to the Draft EIR document. The June 2001 New Alternatives to the Draft EIR, which was circulated for public review, from June 29, 2001 through August 12, 2001, added four (4) new Reduced Density Alternatives as Sections 6.7 through 6.10 of the EIR. The TTM's for the new Reduced Density Alternatives include a total of 171 residential lots (Alternatives 6 and 7) and 161 residential lots (Alternatives 8 and 9). It should be noted that the new alternatives text and exhibits included in this Final EIR are not in marked text, because the new alternatives were made available to the public during the public review period for the New Alternatives to the Draft EIR document. They are added to this Final EIR document in their entirety (except minor editorial/format modifications to fit this document) in regular text, and only revisions to section numbers are shown in marked text (i.e., section number 2.2 changed to 6.7) to follow the sequence of the alternatives in the Final EIR.

The changes to the original text (see following pages with page numbers as they appear in the Draft EIR), which consist of completeness or accuracy edits, are being corrected at this time. Additions to the text are indicated with bold italics. Deletions to the text are indicated with strikeouts. The changes to the Draft EIR and New Alternatives to the Draft EIR, as they relate to issues contained within this Final EIR, do not affect the overall conclusions of the environmental document.

Included under a separate cover, as Volume IIA, Appendices to the Final EIR, are subconsultant documents prepared subsequent to the circulation of the Draft EIR. The documents included consist of:

- Revised Transportation Study for Proposed Parkside Estates Residential Development, March 29, 2001 and Traffic Collision History Report for Three Intersections - Appendix B in the Draft/Final EIR
- Supplemental Information from PSE for the Draft EIR Comments, August 3, 1999 and for the New Alternatives to the Draft EIR Comments, October 12, 2001 and June 13, 2002- Appendix E in the Draft/Final EIR
- 3. Revised Response to FEMA Comments on February 5, 2001 Request for Conditional Letter of Map Revision: Parkside Estates Tentative Tract Nos. 15377 and 15419 Expanded Watershed Analysis of East Garden Grove-Wintersburg Channel Watershed from Tide Gates to I-405 Freeway, January 30, 2002 (Note: due to the size of this report, it is available on file at the City of Huntington Beach, Department of Public Works, for public review) Appendix F of the Draft/Final EIR
- Federal Emergency Management Agency CLOMR Approval Correspondence: June 6, 2002
 regarding effects that a proposed project would have on the effective Flood Insurance Rate Map
 (FIRM) and Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report for Orange County, California and Incorporated

- Areas (the effective FIRM and FIS report for your community), in accordance with Part 65 of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) regulations. Appendix F of the Draft/Final EIR
- 5. Rivertech Water Quality Analysis / Plan, December 1998 and Rivertech Addendum to Urban Runoff Water Quality Analysis and Conceptual Water Quality Control Plan, February 2002 Appendix F in the Draft/Final EIR
- 6. City of Huntington Beach, USDA, Corps of Engineers, and Vandermost Correspondence: a) November 10, 1998, regarding prior converted cropland; b) November 20, 1998, regarding prior converted cropland; c) August 11, 1999, regarding Section 10 provisions of the Rivers and Harbors Act; and d) June 29, 2000 Vandermost Consulting Services, Inc., regarding jurisdictional determination of the existing EGGW Channel; e) August 29, 2000 Correspondence, regarding concurrence of the jurisdictional determination of the existing EGGW Channel; and Supplemental EPA wetland and pickleweed location Exhibits Appendix G in the Draft/Final EIR
- 7. California Coastal Commission Correspondence: a) June 29, 2001 regarding re-established remnant marshland; b) July 3, 2001, regarding proposed Parkside Estates development and Habitat Analysis, Parkside Estates Tentative Tract No. 15419 (County Parcel), December 8, 2000 Appendix G in the Draft/Final EIR
- 8. May 21, 2002 Delineation of Wetlands Subject to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and California Coastal Commission Regulatory Authority and Composite Resource Map for County Parcel Map, prepared by LSA Appendix G in the Draft/Final EIR

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Secti	<u>on</u>		Page
1.0	1.1 1.2 1.3	ODUCTION General Purpose Environmental Procedures Project Sponsors and Contact Persons	1-1 1-1 1-3
	1.4	Major Issues	1-4
2.0	PROJ	ECT SUMMARIES	2-1
	2.1	Executive Summary	
	2.2	Project Impact Summary	
	2.3	Alternative Summary	
3.0	PROJ	ECT DESCRIPTION	3-1
	3.1	Project Location	
	3.2	Project Characteristics	
	3.3	Project Applicant/Property Owners	
	3.4	History of Project	
	3.5	Phasing	3-30
	3.6	Project Objectives	
	3.7	Proposed Actions	
	3.8	Lead, Responsible and Interested Agencies	3-33
4.0	REGI	ONAL, CITYWIDE AND LOCAL SETTING	4-1
	4.1	Introduction	4-1
	4.2	Regional Setting	4-1
	4.3	Citywide Setting	4-1
	4.4	Local Setting	4-1
	4.5	Related Projects	4-2
5.0	ENVI	RONMENTAL ANALYSIS	5-1
	5.1	Land Use Compatibility	5-2
	5.2	Aesthetics/Light and Glare	5-38
	5.3	Transportation/Circulation	5-58
	5.4	Air Quality	5-86
	5.5	Noise	5-102
	5.6	Earth Resources	5-114
	5.7	Drainage/Hydrology	5-133
	5.8	Biological Resources	5-144
	5.9	Cultural Resources	
	5.10	Public Services and Utilities	5-174

6.0	ALTE	ERNATIVES TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT	6-1
	6.1	Introduction	6-1
	6.2	Alternative 1 - No Project/No Development	6-13
	6.3	Alternative 2 - Development Under Existing Zoning	6-15
	6.4	Alternative 3 - Alternative Location	
	6.5	Alternative 4 - Alternative Park Site Location	6-19
	6.6	Alternative 5 - Alternative Roadway Connections	6-22
	6.7	Alternative 6 - Reduced Density Alternative (9-lot County) with	
		Existing BFE (June 2000 FEMA) - 10.9 Feet at Northeast Corner	6-32
	6.8	Alternative 7 - Reduced Density Alternative (9-lot County) with	
		Projected BFE (updated FEMA with LOMR) - 4.5 Feet	6-61
	6.9	Alternative 8 - Reduced Density Alternative (0-lot County) with	
		Existing BFE (June 2000 FEMA) - 10.9 Feet at Northeast Corner	6-75
	6.10	Alternative 9 - Reduced Density Alternative (0-lot County) with	
		Projected BFE (updated FEMA with LOMR) - 4.5 Feet	6-96
7.0	LONG	G-TERM IMPLICATIONS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT	7-1
	7.1	Short-Term Used Versus Long-Term Productivity	7-1
	7.2	Growth Inducing Impacts	7-2
8.0	ENVI	RONMENTAL SUMMARIES	8-1
	8.1	Impacts Found Not to be Significant	8-1
	8.2	Impacts Mitigated to a Level Less than Significant	8-4
	8.3	Unavoidable Adverse Impacts	8-7
	8.4	Mitigation Measures	8-8
	8.5	Applicable Standard City Policies and Requirements	8-20
	52.7		
9.0		ORT PREPARATION RESOURCES	
	9.1	Organizations and Persons Consulted	
	9.2	Report Preparation Staff	
	9.3	Contributors	
	9.4	References	9-3
TECI	TATICAT	L APPENDICES - VOLUME I	
A		c Participation and Review	
A	1.	*	
	2.	Initial Study/NOP Written Comments in Personne to NOP and Utility Overtionneins	
	2. 3.	Written Comments in Response to NOP and Utility Questionnaires	
		Correspondence Related to NOP Comments	
	4.	Scoping Meeting Agenda Package	
	5.	Speaker/Comment Cards	
D	6.	Scoping Meeting Minutes	
В		ell & Associates - Traffic Study	
C		W - Noise Modeling and Dewatering Noise Specifications	
D		W - Air Modeling	
E	Pacifi	ic Soils, Inc Geology Report, Response Correspondence, and Phase I A	Assessment

TECHNICAL APPENDICES - VOLUME II

- F Hunsaker & Associates Hydrology/Inundation Reports
- G Frank Hovore & Associates Biology Report, Wetlands Delineation, 1989 EPA Wetlands Map and Arborist Report
- H Brian D. Dillon, Ph.D. Archaeological Assessment
- I Hunsaker & Associates Sewer Study

LIST OF EXHIBITS

<u>Exhibit</u>		Page
1	Regional Location	3-2
2	Local Vicinity	3-3
3	USGS Map	3-4
4	Aerial Photo	3-5
5	Conceptual Land Use Plan	3-6
5a	Conceptual Park Plan	3-6a
5b	Conceptual Trails and Bikeways	3-6b
6a	Proposed Tentative Tract Map - City	3-7
6a-1	Street Cross Sections	3-8
6b-1	Site Plan Sections - City	3-9
6b-2	Site Plan Sections - City	3-9a
6b	Site Sections City	3 9
6c	Proposed Tentative Tract Map - County	3-10
6c-1	Site Cross Sections - County	3-11
7	Typical 5.000 SF (min.) Lot Illustration	3-12
8	Typical 6,000 SF (min.) Lot Illustration	3-13
9	Parkside Building Elevations - Plan 1	3-15
10	Parkside Building Elevations - Plan 2	3-16
11	Parkside Building Elevations - Plan 3	3-17
12	The Estates Building Elevations - Plan 1	3-18
13	The Estates Building Elevations - Plan 2	3-19
14	The Estates Building Elevations - Plan 3	3-20
15	Haul Route For Import Map	3-22
16	Current General Plan Land Use Designations	3-24
17	Current Zoning Map	3-25
18	Proposed General Plan Land Use Designations	3-26
19	Proposed Zoning	3-27
20	Site Photo Index	5-39
21	Site Photos (A. B. & C)	5-40
22	Site Photos (D & E)	5-41
23	Site Photos (F. G. H. & I)	5-42
24	Site Photos (J. K. & L)	
25	City/County Designated Trails	5-46
26	Section Key Man	5-50
27	Cross Sections	
28	Concentual Landscape Plan	
29	Existing Intersection Geometrics	5-59
30	Existing Traffic Volumes	5-61
31	Project Trip Distribution	5-70
32	Project Daily and Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	5-71
33	Existing Plus Project Traffic Volumes	5-72
34	Short Term Cumulative Traffic Volumes	5-77
.) 🛨	DHOLL LOUIS CHIMICHEL TO LIGHTLE TO THE STATE OF THE STAT	

35	Year 2020 Daily and Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	5-79
36	Year 2020 Intersection Geometrics	
37	"A" Street Full Access Project Traffic	5-85
38	Geologic Map with Boring/Test Pit Locations (1 of 4)	5-115
39	Geologic Map with Boring/Test Pit Locations (2 of 4)	5-116
40	Geologic Map with Boring/Test Pit Locations (3 of 4)	5-117
41	Geologic Map with Boring/Test Pit Locations (4 of 4)	
42	Existing and Proposed Storm Drain Improvements	
43	Watershed Boundary Map	
44	Circulation Alternative A	
45	Circulation Alternative B	6-26
46	Circulation Alternative C	6-29
47a	All Alternatives - Fish and Game ESHA Map - Original TTM	6-46
47b	Alternatives 6 & 7 - Fish and Game ESHA Map - Reduced Density Alternative	
	(9-lot County) – June 2000 FEMA	6-47
48	All Alternatives - Approximate Base Flood Elevations (BFE) - June 2000 FEMA	6-48
49	Alternatives 6 & 7 - Conceptual Land Use Plan 171 Lots – Reduced Density	
	Alternative (9-lot County) – June 2000 FEMA	6-49
50	Alternative 6 - Tentative Tract Map No. 15377 (City) - Reduced Density	
	Alternative (9-lot County) – June 2000 FEMA	6-50
50a	Alternative 6 - Tentative Tract Map No. 15377 (City) - Reduced Density	
	Alternative (9-lot County)	6-51
51	Alternatives 6 & 7 - Tentative Tract Map No. 15419 (County) - Reduced Density	
	Alternative (9-lot County) – June 2000 FEMA	6-52
52	Alternatives 6 & 7 - Conceptual Landscape Plan - Reduced Density Alternative	
	(9-lot County) – June 2000 FEMA	6-53
53	Alternative 6 - Key Map – Reduced Density Alternative (9-lot County) –	
	June 2000 FEMA	6-54
54a	Alternative 6 - Site Cross Sections - Reduced Density Alternative (9-lot County) -	
	June 2000 FEMA	
54b	Alternative 6 - Site Cross Sections - Reduced Density Alternative (9-lot County) -	
	June 2000 FEMA	
55	All Alternatives - Visual Simulations - Existing Conditions	6-57
56	Alternatives 6 & 8 - Visual Simulations - Reduced Density Alternative -	
	June 2000 FEMA	6-58
57	Alternatives 6 & 7 - Conceptual Recreation and Open Space - Reduced Density	
	Alternative (9-lot County) – June 2000 FEMA	6-59
58	Alternatives 6 & 7 - Storm Drainage Map - Reduced Density Alternative	
	(9-lot County) – June 2000 FEMA	6-60
59	Alternative 7 - Tentative Tract Map No. 15377 (City) - Reduced Density	
	Alternative (9-lot County) – updated FEMA with LOMR	6-70
60	Alternative 7 - Key Map - Reduced Density Alternative (9-lot County) -	
	updated FEMA with LOMR	6-71
61a	Alternative 7 - Site Cross Section - Reduced Density Alternative (9-lot County) -	
	updated FEMA with LOMR	6-72

61b	Alternative 7 - Site Cross Section - Reduced Density Alternative (9-lot County) -	<i>(5</i> 0
	updated FEMA with LOMR	6-73
62	Alternatives 7 & 9 - Visual Simulations - Reduced Density Alternative -	
	Updated FEMA with LOMR	6-74
63	Alternatives 8 & 9 - Fish and Game ESHA Map - Reduced Density Alternative	
	(0-lot County) – June 2000 FEMA.	6-85
64	Alternatives 8 & 9 - Conceptual Land Use Plan 161 lots - Reduced Density	
	Alternative (0-lot County) – June 2000 FEMA	6-86
65	Alternative 8 - Tentative Tract map No. 15377 (City) - Reduced Density	
	Alternative (0-lot County) – June 2000 FEMA	6-87
65a	Alternative 8 - Tentative Tract Map No. 15377 (City) - Reduced Density	
	Alternative (0-lot County)	6-88
66	Alternatives 8 & 9 - Tentative Tract Map No. 15419 (County) - Reduced Density	
	Alternative (0-lot County) – June 2000 FEMA	6-89
67	Alternatives 8 & 9 - Conceptual Landscape Plan - Reduced Density Alternative	
	(0-lot County) – June 2000 FEMA.	6-90
68	Alternative 8 - Key Map - Reduced Density Alternative (0-lot County) -	
	June 2000 FEMA	6-91
69a	Alternative 8 - Site Cross Sections - Reduced Density Alternative (0-lot County) -	
	June 2000 FEMA	6-92
69b	Alternative 8 - Site Cross Sections - Reduced Density Alternative (0-lot County) -	
	June 2000 FEMA	6-93
70	Alternatives 8 & 9 - Conceptual Recreation and Open Space Plan - Reduced Density	y
	Alternative (0-lot County) – June 2000 FEMA	6-94
71	Alternatives 8 & 9 - Storm Drainage Map - Reduced Density Alternative	
	(0-lot County) – June 2000 FEMA	6-95
72	Alternative 9 - Tentative Tract Map No. 15377 (City) - Reduced Density	
	Alternative (0-lot County) – Updated FEMA with LOMR	6-105
73	Alternative 9 - Key Map -Reduced Alternative (0-lot County) - updated FEMA	
	with LOMR	6-106
74a	Alternative 9 - Site Cross Sections - Reduced Density Alternative (0-lot County) -	
	updated FEMA with LOMR	6-107
74b	Alternative 9 - Site Cross Sections – Reduced Density Alternative (0-lot County) –	
	updated FEMA with LOMR	6-108
	•	

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
A	Required EIR Sections	1-2
В	Project Impact Summary Matrix	2-3
C	Density Survey of Surrounding Residential Uses	5-4
D	Level of Service Criteria for Signalized Intersections	
E	Level of Service Criteria for Roadway Segments	
F	Summary of Intersection Level of Service	
G	Summary of Roadway Link Level of Service	
H	Summary of Trip Generation Rates & Calculations	5-69
I	Graham Street Residential Project Percentage of Net Traffic Impact on Intersections	5-78
J	Summary of Year 2020 Intersection Level of Service	
K	Summary of Year 2020 Roadway Capacity	5-82
L	Ambient Air Quality Standards	5-88
M	Draft 1997 AQMP Target Attainment Dates	5-90
N	Number of Days Exceeding State Air Quality Standards Orange County Air Quality	
	Monitoring Summary 1992-1995	
O	Construction Emissions	
P	Project 1997 Estimated Emissions (Pounds/Day)	
Q	Typical Outdoor Noise Levels	
R	Existing Condition Distances To CNEL Noise Contours	
S	Significance of Changes in Cumulative Noise Exposure	
$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{r}}$	Construction Equipment Noise	
U	Existing Plus Project Distances To CNEL Noise Contours	5-110
V	Year 2020 Distances To CNEL Noise Contours	
W	Existing and Proposed Runoff Volumes for a 100-Year Storm Event	
X	Comparative Significance, Shea Homes Archaeological Sites	
Y	Summary of Alternatives	
Z	Alternative Summary Matrix	
AA	Impacts of the Alternatives which would not result from the Project	
BB	Cut, Fill, and Import Quantities	6-41

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 GENERAL PURPOSE

This EIR addresses potential environmental impacts associated with General Plan Amendment No. 98-1/Zoning Map Amendment No. 96-5/Tentative Tract Map No. 15377 (City) and Tentative Tract Map No. 15419 (County)/Conditional Use Permit No. 96-90/Coastal Development Permit No. 96-18/Annexation 98-1 and a Local Coastal Program Amendment No. 96-4. The proposed requests will allow for the development of 208 206 single family homes and a park site on approximately 49 acres of land. Approximately 44.5 acres of the project site is located in the City of Huntington Beach, and the remaining 4.5 acres is located in the unincorporated County of Orange and is proposed for annexation into the City of Huntington Beach.

The City of Huntington Beach has the principal authority to approve the project and is the lead agency for preparation and certification of this EIR. The material contained in this EIR is intended to serve as an informational document for decisions to be made by the City and responsible agencies regarding the proposed project.

This EIR provides an overall analysis of potential impacts associated with implementation of the proposed project. The issues discussed within this EIR are those which have been identified in the course of extensive review of all potentially significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed project.

1.2 ENVIRONMENTAL PROCEDURES

This EIR has been prepared in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970 (CEQA), as amended (Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.) and the State Guidelines for Implementation of CEQA (CEQA Guidelines), as amended (California Administrative Code Section 15000 et seq.). This report complies with the rules, regulations, and procedures adopted by the City of Huntington Beach for implementation of CEQA.

The CEQA Guidelines require that each EIR contain areas of description and analysis. Table A identifies areas required by CEQA and the corresponding sections in this EIR.

This EIR analyzes and assesses the significant environmental impacts of the proposed project and the cumulative impacts of the proposed project in conjunction with past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future projects in the surrounding area. It identifies alternatives to the proposed project and discusses possible ways to reduce or avoid the potentially significant environmental impacts.

The environmental procedures for analysis of the proposed project were initiated in September, 1997 when the City prepared an Initial Study for the proposed project. Through the preparation of the Initial Study, the City determined that the proposed project may have a significant impact on the environment and that an EIR was necessary to analyze potentially significant environmental impacts associated with the potential development of the project site. The Initial Study is contained in Technical Appendix A of this EIR. A Notice of Preparation (NOP) was prepared for this EIR and circulated with the Initial Study for review by the State Office of Planning and Research and other agencies and interested parties on September 15, 1997. The NOP and the comments received on the NOP are included in Technical Appendix A.